DUA DUA 10/091,373 **Application Number** RANSMITTAL March 4, 2002 Filing Date **FORM** Hiroshi ITO et al. First Named Inventor Art Unit 1752 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Amanda C. WALKE **Examiner Name** ARC920010125US1 Attorney Docket Number Mail Stop **Amendment ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)** No fee due Terminal Disclaimer Revocation of & New Power of ☐ Information Disclosure Statement Attorney, Address Indication ☐ Fee Transmittal Form & PTO-1449 Form(s) Fee(s) due: \$ Request for Refund ☐ Check enclosed ☐ Cited reference copy(ies) ☐ After Allowance Communication Response to Missing Parts / ☐ Charge Deposit Account to a Technology Center (TC) Incomplete Application No. 18-0580 Appeal Communication to Board Declaration(s) by Inventor(s) 37 CFR§ § 1.16 of Appeals and Interferences **Preliminary Amendment** ☐ 37 CFR§ § 1.17 **Updated Application Data Sheet** Appeal Communication to TC □ Charge any underpayment or
 □ Charge any underpayment or
Drawing(s) - __ Sheets (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 18-0580 Compact Disk(s) - __ CD(s) Brief) Petition Other Enclosure(s) (see remarks): Return postcard Power of Attorney & Address Indication Form ☐ After Final □ Affidavits/declaration(s) -Affidavits/declaration(s) -Month Extension of Time **REMARKS** SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Isaac M. Rutenberg, Reg. No. 57,419 Firm or Individual Name Telephone (650) 251-7700 (print/type) Mintz∆Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. August 1, 2006 Date Signature **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

August 1, 2006

Name (print/type)

Signature

Joe Clark

Application Serial No. 10/091,373 Response dated August 1, 2006 Reply to Office Action of May 1, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Hiroshi ITO

Examiner:

Amanda C. WALKE

Serial No.: 10/091,373

Group Art Unit:

1752

Filing Date: March 4, 2002

Confirmation No:

No: 7246

Title: COPOLYMER FOR USE IN CHEMICAL AMPLIFICATION

RESISTS

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R 1.111

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed from the PTO on May 1, 2006. Applicant hereby requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the Action. As support for this request, applicant also hereby requests that the Examiner consider and enter into the record the following arguments.

In the Action under reply, claims 1-31 were examined. Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) set forth in the previous Office Action. Claims 1-31 now stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Araki et al (USPN 6,908,724, hereinafter "Araki"). Applicant traverses this rejection.

In the first place, Araki is not available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102, and therefore may not be relied upon to base a rejection under 35 U.S.C §103(a). Araki is a continuation-in-part of the PCT application PCT/JP01/02897, which has a filing date of April 3, 2001. However, PCT/JP01/02897 was published in Japanese; consequently, according to MPEP §706.02(f)(1),